

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 09 2009

Application No. 10/078,419

Attorney Docket No. A8507

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY, DO NOT ENTER**Agenda for the Telephonic Interview****Introductions**

Applicant's representative:	Sean M. Conner, Reg. No. 60,840 (202) 857-2242
USPTO:	Examiner Ajay M. Bhatia (571) 272-3906 (voice) (571) 273-3906 (fax)

It is Applicant's intention that an interview will lead to an agreeable resolution of the rejected claims.

Regarding the prior art rejections, Applicants' representative would appreciate the opportunity to discuss the differences that exist between the subject application, as defined in the DRAFT amendments to the claims, and the combination of U.S. Patent No. 6,578,078 to Smith and U.S. Patent No. 5,761,683 to Logan.

Claim 4, as amended, recites that the mapping table indicates changes that occur in locations of resources on the remote server by storing prior locations of the resources on the remote server, status codes indicating a status of the prior locations, and new locations of the resources on the remote server. Contrary to these features, Logan discloses a lookup table which stores a current location of a file on a remote server in association with a current location of a copy of the remote file on a local server. Smith does not cure this deficiency of Logan.

Claim 4, as amended, further recites:

"wherein a status code stored in the mapping table in association with the first resource comprises one of a first status code that indicates that the first resource has moved from a prior location stored in the mapping table in association with the first resource to a new location stored in the mapping table in association with the first resource, and a second status code that indicates that the first resource has been permanently removed from the remote server, and

wherein a status code stored in the mapping table in association with the second resource comprises a third status code which indicates that the second resource is located at a prior location stored in the mapping table in association with the second resource."

Logan does not teach or suggest the above features of claim 4. Indeed, Logan is silent about various status codes which provide indications about the stored location of a resource on the remote server. Smith does not cure this deficiency of Logan.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 09 2009

Application No. 10/078,419

Attorney Docket No. A8507

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY, DO NOT ENTER**DRAFT claims to be discussed**

4. (currently amended): A method of correcting links in a document stored on a local server, comprising:

 sending a first request from the local server to a link checking service unit of a remote server to determine whether a first resource in the remote server corresponding to a first link in the document is located at said first link;

 receiving a first response to said first request from the remote server, the first response containing an indication that the first resource is not located at the first link, wherein the remote server generates the indication by referring to a mapping table stored on the remote server to determine that the first resource is not located at the first link, wherein said mapping table stores indicates changes that occur in locations of resources on the remote server by storing prior locations of the resources on the remote server, status codes indicating a status of the prior locations, and new locations of the resources on the remote server, said first resource being among said resources;

 automatically changing the document in response to the receiving of the first response, based on the indication, wherein said changing of the document comprises automatically replacing the first link or automatically deleting the first link; and

 automatically sending a second request from the local server to the link checking service unit of the remote server to determine whether a second resource in the remote server

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 09 2009

Application No. 10/078,419

Attorney Docket No. A8507

FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY, DO NOT ENTER

corresponding to a second link in the document is located at the second link after the changing of the document,

wherein a status code stored in the mapping table in association with the first resource comprises one of a first status code that indicates that the first resource has moved from a prior location stored in the mapping table in association with the first resource to a new location stored in the mapping table in association with the first resource, and a second status code that indicates that the first resource has been permanently removed from the remote server, and

wherein a status code stored in the mapping table in association with the second resource comprises a third status code which indicates that the second resource is located at a prior location stored in the mapping table in association with the second resource.